

EXHIBIT F

Barry L. Breslow, Esq. (Resident Counsel)
Nevada State Bar #3023
Robison, Belaustegui, Sharp & Low
A Professional Corporation
71 Washington Street
Reno, Nevada 89503
Telephone: (775) 329-3151
Emails: klow@rbsllaw.com;
bbreslow@rbsllaw.com

Steve W. Berman (*pro hac vice*)
Nicholas S. Boebel (*pro hac vice*)
Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro LLP
1918 Eighth Avenue, Suite 3300
Seattle, WA 98101
Telephone: (206) 268-9320
Emails: steve@hbsslaw.com;
nickb@hbsslaw.com

Christopher D. Banys (*pro hac vice*)
Richard C. Lin (*pro hac vice*)
Banys, P.C.
1032 Elwell Court, Suite 100
Palo Alto, CA 94303
Telephone: (650) 308-8505
Emails: cdb@banyspc.com;
rcl@banyspc.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Applications in Internet Time LLC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

APPLICATIONS IN INTERNET TIME, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

SALESFORCE.COM, INC.,

Defendant.

Civil Action No.: 3:13-CV-00628-RCJ-VPC

**DECLARATION OF CRAIG
ROSENBERG RE CLAIM
CONSTRUCTION**

1 I, Craig Rosenberg, hereby declare, affirm, and state the following:

2 1. The facts set forth below are known to me personally and I have firsthand knowledge
3 of them.

4 2. I make this Declaration in support of Plaintiff Applications In Internet Time, LLC's
5 ("AIT") proposed claim constructions in the above-captioned matter.

6 **I. Background**

7 3. I am a senior human factors engineer, user interface designer, and software architect
8 at Global Technica. A copy of my CV as well as a listing of my prior consulting engagements,
9 including cases in which I have testified as an expert at trial or by deposition in the last five years,
10 are attached as Exhibit 1 to this Declaration.

11 4. I am being compensated at the rate of \$325 per hour for my work in connection with
12 this matter. My compensation in this action is not dependent in any way on the contents of this
13 Declaration, the substance of any further opinions or testimony that I may provide, or on the ultimate
14 outcome of this action.

15 5. I have reviewed U.S. Patent Nos. 7,356,482 ("the '482 patent") and 8,484,111 (the
16 '111 patent") and their file histories. I understand that the '482 and '111 patents are related, and that
17 both patents claim priority to the same original patent application, U.S. Patent Application No.
18 09/215,898, filed on December 18, 1998.

19 6. The '482 and '111 patents are both titled "Integrated Change Management Unit," and
20 the patents relate generally to systems and methods for enabling individuals with knowledge of
21 business processes, rather than only computer programmers, to have responsibility for application
22 development with a simple and efficient metadata-driven application platform.

23 7. I understand that the general standard for construing claim terms is the meaning that
24 the terms would have to a person of ordinary skill in the art in question at the time of the invention.

25 8. I understand that the factors to be considered in determining the level of ordinary skill
26 in the art to be: (1) the educational level of active workers in the field, including the named inventors
27 of the patent; (2) the type of problems encountered in the art; (3) prior art solutions to those
28 problems; (4) the rapidity with which innovations are made; and (5) the sophistication of the

1 technology in the art. I further understand that the alleged invention date of the '482 and '111 patent
2 claims is sometime around December 1997.

3 9. I understand that, in construing terms, the Court looks first to the intrinsic evidence of
4 record, which includes the patent itself (including the claims and the specification) and the
5 prosecution history of the patent. I also understand that the Court may also consider extrinsic
6 evidence, which includes expert and inventor testimony, dictionaries, and learned treatises.

7 10. I understand that a claim is indefinite if it does not inform one of ordinary skill in the
8 art about the scope of the invention with reasonable certainty. I also understand that the party
9 alleging that a patent claim is indefinite bears the burden of proving indefiniteness by clear and
10 convincing evidence.

11 11. Based on my review of the '482 and '111 patents and on my consideration of the
12 above-mentioned factors, it is my opinion that a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the
13 invention of the '482 and '111 patents (sometime in the late 1997-98 time period) would be someone
14 with a bachelor's degree in computer science, computer engineering, mathematics, or a similar
15 course of study and at least 3 years of practical experience working in software development or
16 computer programming.

17 12. I am offering my opinions in this lawsuit based on my experience as one of at least
18 ordinary skill in the art of the '482 and '111 patents at the time of the invention.

19 13. Traditionally, a computer program, also called a software application, is first written
20 in source code using a suitable programming language, such as C, C++, or Java. Then, the source
21 code is compiled into machine-executable code, also known as binary code. The machine-
22 executable code can subsequently be distributed to various users.

23 14. When any change or modification needs to be incorporated into the program, a
24 software developer or programmer must modify or rewrite the relevant portion of the source code.
25 Thereafter the new source code must be re-compiled and re-tested, and the new machine-executable
26 code must be re-distributed to the users. This whole process must be repeated for each and every
27 modification made to the program.

28 15. In some cases, changes or modifications need to be made to a program rather

frequently. For example, bugs need to be fixed; new features and functions need to be added; adjustments need to be made based on business requirements; and so on. The '482 and '111 patents discuss, as an example, a situation where changes in regulatory requirements may result in business changes in specific industries and consequently causing business applications to implement functional or data changes. Other types of changes, such as bug fixes and new features, may also result in modifications or updates to an application. As the '482 and '111 patents point out, "Without an integrated method for automatically handling such changes, a developer or user of software that tracks business operations must continually rewrite part or all of the software in order to accurately and fully reflect these changes, usually at great expense and effort and with little hope for relief." ('482 patent at 9:4-9. '111 patent at 9:9-15.)

II. The '482 and '111 Patents and the Preferred Embodiment

16. The '482 and '111 patents disclose a system and method to automate the software modification process, thus relieving software developers from manually implementing software changes and in general streamlining and improving the process of developing software applications. Instead of writing a software application in source code, the invention defines various aspects of an application, such as its functionality, user interface, and data, using metadata combined with a data dictionary.

17. The '482 and the '111 patents describe a system where four different layers work in conjunction to allow users to easily modify an application or a set of applications to suit the users' needs without having to modify the applications source code (Change Layer, Java Data Management Layer, Metadata Layer, Business Content Layer). There are many advantages of having a system that allows the user to quickly make changes to an application without the user having to be a highly technical software engineer. There are other advantages associated with the inventive system described in the '482 and '111 patents including easier configuration management, easier to make changes to the application's user interface, easier to make changes to the application's business logic, easier to make changes to the application's reporting and logging functionality, and easier to build and deploy the system to its end users.

18. The disclosed system is able to accomplish these advantages through the use of the

four different layers described above (Change Layer, Java Data Management Layer, Metadata Layer, Business Content Layer) combined with a data dictionary and two types of metadata that are both part of the metadata layer. The patent describes the data dictionary as follows: “The data dictionary describes or defines the data elements of the application system and the business content layer and how a data element is recorded and managed at the database management system (DBMS) level.” (‘482 patent at 12:34-38. ‘111 patent at 12:39-43.). A data dictionary can be defined as a collection of descriptions of the data objects or items in a data model for the benefit of programmers and others who need to refer to them. The data dictionary holds the various data elements that are used in the application. In general, when developing programs that use a data model, a data dictionary can be consulted to understand where a data item fits in the structure, what values it may contain, and what the data item means in real-world terms. For example, a bank or group of banks could model the data objects involved in a loan application. They could then provide a data dictionary for a bank's programmers. The data dictionary would describe each of the data items in its data model for consumer banking (for example, "Account holder" and "Loan Amount").

19. Fundamentally speaking, metadata is data that describes attributes of the data – in other words, “data about data.” (Understanding Metadata, page 1, <http://www.niso.org/publications/press/UnderstandingMetadata.pdf>. Metadata, <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Metadata>. Metadata, <http://whatis.techtarget.com/definition/metadata>.) The invention described in the ‘482 and ‘111 patents takes advantage of this characteristic of metadata and uses metadata to define all aspects of an application. The metadata forms a metadata layer. (‘482 patent at 12:30-52. ‘111 patent at 12:34-56.) In a specific embodiment, the metadata is stored in metadata tables in a database. (‘482 patent at 12:53-14:19. ‘111 patent at 12:57-14:21.) Different metadata tables may be used to store different types of metadata.

20. In a preferred embodiment, there is a metadata layer that manages and stores the application metadata. (‘482 patent at 12:15-14:19. ‘111 patent at 12:20-14:21.) The metadata model has two main components: a business content data dictionary and an application component. "The business content layer includes business knowledge, logical designs, physical designs, physical structures, relationships, and data associated with a selected area of business activity. The business

1 content layer is defined by and referenced in the metadata layer so that the necessary objects, tables,
2 columns, relationships, functions, procedures and data can be read and updated by the Java data
3 management layer." ('482 patent at 12:16-28. '111 patent at 12:20-31.) "The data dictionary
4 describes or defines the data elements of the application system and the business content layer and
5 how a data element is recorded and managed at the database management system (DBMS) level.
6 The application component primarily records procedures for manipulating business information
7 using data entry forms, worklists, processes, documents, reports, and business logic." ('482 patent at
8 Fig 1; 12:34-41. '111 patent at Fig 1; 12:37-45.)

9 21. Thus, at a high level, the '482 and '111 patents describe metadata within two layers:
10 one layer includes metadata that defines the unique aspects of an application; and the other layer
11 includes metadata that defines aspects common to a variety of applications. They correspond to the
12 first and second information, respectively, as recited in the asserted claims.

13 22. In the invention disclosed in the '482 and the '111 patent, the data dictionary works in
14 concert with the two types of metadata (i.e. "unique" and "common") to fully specify an application
15 or set of applications. The data dictionary holds the various kinds of data that the application will use
16 and the metadata is then used to customize the various data elements in the data dictionary
17 depending on what is needed by the specific application.

18 23. As an example, let us describe a software application that a bank is creating to allow
19 customers to submit an application to take out a loan from the bank. In addition to other
20 components, the bank software applications used to gather and process information from potential
21 loan applicants will need to have a user interface for the user of the application to input information
22 about the applicant of the loan as well as business logic that describes how the data that is submitted
23 is processed, where it is routed, how reporting and accounting takes place, etc. In our simple
24 example, let us say there are two kinds of loans that the bank application needs to support; 1) a short
25 term cash loan with a maximum loan amount of \$1,000 and 2) a home mortgage loan with a
26 maximum amount of \$1,000,000. Both of these loan applications will need to collect basic
27 information about the applicant including their first name, last name, address, phone number, etc.
28 These are all data fields are described in the data model and are also aggregated into the data

1 dictionary. Each of these common data elements can be described by metadata that describe these
2 common attributes of these elements. As described above, this would be the metadata that defines
3 aspects of the application that were "common" across the two different loan applications (i.e. short
4 term loan and home mortgage loan). Each of these data elements would be fully defined and
5 customized by "common" metadata, that describes attributes of the data elements for each of the two
6 variants of the bank loan application.

7 24. In addition to the metadata that is describing the "common" data elements, there
8 would also be metadata that is describing the "unique" aspects of the two different loan applications.
9 For example, the short term loan application may have a data element that needs to be provided by
10 the user that describes the date of the next paycheck, however this would not be needed in the home
11 loan application. Similarly, the home mortgage loan application may have a data element that needs
12 to be provided by the user that describes the currently assessed value of the house for which the
13 applicant wants to take out a home mortgage for but this data field would not be needed for the short
14 term loan application. Each of these two data elements would be fully defined and customized by
15 "unique" metadata, that describes attributes of the data elements for each of the two variants of the
16 bank loan application (i.e. short term loan application versus home mortgage loan application.) In
17 order for a user to build or modify the bank loan application all they would need to do is to modify
18 the metadata that describes the attributes of the common and unique data elements and the system
19 would automatically rebuild the application and distribute it to the servers to reflect the attributes of
20 the underlying metadata.

21 25. In the preferred embodiment, the metadata defining the common aspects of a variety
22 of applications is described as standard interface system, or SIS. ('482 and '111 patents at Figs. 3-5.)
23 The SIS tables define application functions that are used to generate various application components.
24 These tables are part of the metadata layer. ('482 patent at 12:54-55. '111 patent at 12:58-59.) SIS
25 has the advantage of not requiring (re)programming to respond to changes made to the applications
26 because the system is dependent on and driven by metadata. ('482 patent at 11:64-12:2. '111 patent
27 at 11:66-12:4.)

28 26. When an application is needed (e.g., by an end user), the metadata that defines the

1 application, including both the common and the unique metadata, is interpreted to generate the
2 necessary components of the application, including, for example, its user interface, functionality,
3 application data, and so on. The '482 and '111 patents refer to this process as "data mapping"
4 without hard-wired coding. ('482 patent at 15:17-30. '111 patent at 15:19-32.) In the preferred
5 embodiment, this is done through the Java Data Management Layer. ('482 patent at 14:21-16:16.
6 '111 patent at 14:25-16:16.) As a result, applications are created in an entirely metadata-driven
7 fashion.

8 27. In connection with the Java Data Management Layer, which is responsible for
9 interpreting the metadata and generating the applications, the '482 and '111 patents states that "The
10 user interface is generated by the interpretation of the metadata layer delivered to the Java data
11 management layer." ('482 patent at 15:17-18. '111 patent at 15:19-20.) "The Java data
12 management layer and thus what the end user sees is defined only by the metadata and is generated
13 as needed by a single program that interprets what a form will look like." ('482 patent at 15:26-29.
14 '111 patent at 15:28-30.) Thus, an application is solely defined by metadata and generated from
15 such metadata as needed. This helps improve programming flexibility since there is no hard-wired
16 connection between an application's source code and its business operations and data. ('482 patent
17 at 15:29-30. '111 patent at 15:15:31-32.) "The Java data management layer and the metadata layer
18 together serve as a standard interface system that is positioned 'on top of' one or more databases,
19 allowing addition, deletion and modification of data entry forms, tables, views, images, reports,
20 queries, information processing and logic, monitoring or work flow and distribution and routing,
21 menu presentations and provision of regulatory or non-regulatory alerts. Substantially all of the data
22 entry and modification, report monitoring and preparation, and other monitoring processes are
23 transparent so that the user need not be a computer programmer to deal with changes that occur from
24 time to time." ('482 patent at 16:4-15. '111 patent at 16:5-16.)

25 28. Since every aspect of an application is defined by metadata, whenever any
26 modification needs to be incorporated into the application, only the relevant metadata needs to be
27 changed. There is no need to modify or rewrite the application's source code since the application is
28 not defined using source code as with the traditional method. As the '482 and '111 patents point out,

1 “The most important aspect of the server-based, programming-free model is the system’s ability to
2 create, change and (re)configure the application system at one location and to promptly make the
3 modified application system available elsewhere within the enterprise as well. This approach also
4 eliminates the need to write new code or to modify existing code and eliminate the need for
5 (re)compiling and creating executable instructions and updating every affected user’s computer
6 within the organization. This approach is implemented using intuitive, user-friendly, dialog-based
7 screens and using small code segments to define business logic.” (‘482 patent at 12:43-52. ‘111
8 patent at 12:46-56.)

9 29. By using the metadata to define software applications, the invention is able to
10 automate the software modification process by generating an application’s executable code from
11 interpreting its metadata. Moreover, since only the metadata needs to be modified to incorporate
12 changes to an application, which eliminates the need to modify or rewrite the application’s source
13 code, a person without extensive software programming skill may also be able to modify the
14 application’s metadata and thus making changes to the application. This lessens the demand on
15 software developers and programmers as well.

16 30. Certain software functionalities are often commonly found in multiple software
17 applications. For example, most applications today have a graphical user interface with similar
18 design layout and functionality. Other features common to multiple applications include: printing,
19 file opening, file saving, data searching, program exiting, and so on. Such common functionalities
20 are also defined using metadata, similar to other aspects of the applications.

21 31. Suppose that a particular functionality is found among several software applications.
22 The same set of metadata that defines this functionality can then be used and reused by all those
23 applications that implement this functionality. The ‘482 and ‘111 patents refer to such metadata as
24 information “common to a variety of applications.” (‘482 patent at 32:19-20, 33:41-43. ‘111 patent
25 at 31:64-66, 32:43-45, 33:25-27.) When a new application needs to be developed, it can re-use all
26 the existing metadata that defines those functionalities shared by existing applications, and only
27 develop and create new metadata that defines functionalities unique to this new application. The
28 ‘482 and ‘111 patents refer to metadata that defines functionalities unique to a particular application

as “information about the unique aspects of a particular application.” (‘482 patent at 32:16-17, 33:39-40. ‘111 patent at 31:62-63, 32:41-42, 33:23-24.) This approach modularizes software components and helps reduce time and resource for developing new applications.

32. Moreover, if changes need to be made to a common functionality shared among multiple applications, only one set of metadata needs to be modified to incorporate the changes. Since the metadata is re-used by all the applications that implement this functionality, the changes are automatically propagated to all those applications using the same set of metadata.

III. “layer[s]”

33. I understand that there is a dispute in this case regarding the construction of the term “layer[s].” Specifically, I understand that the parties’ proposed constructions for this term is as follows:

Claim Term or Phrase	AIT’s Proposed Construction	Salesforce’s Proposed Construction
“layer[s]” (‘482 claims 1, 3, 5, 10, 20, 21, 23, 25, 30, 40)	“a set of functionally or logically related software components”	Indefinite, or in the alternative, requiring at least “a group of data and/or functions that serve a particular purpose, which is separate and distinct from other such groups”

34. I agree with AIT’s proposed construction for this term. The system described in the ‘482 patent has a layered architecture, including a metadata layer, a Java Data Management layer, and a change management layer. (‘482 patent at 9:33-48, 12:15-16-60, Fig. 1.) The metadata layer further includes two sub-layers. (‘482 patent at 12:15-41.) Each layer includes related components that together serve a particular purpose or perform a particular set of related tasks. For example, the metadata layer stores and manages metadata that define applications. (‘482 patent at 12:15-14:19.) The Java Data Management layer generates applications based on each application's metadata stored in and managed by the metadata layer. (‘482 patent at 14:21-16:16.) The change management layer monitors and detects changes made to specific applications. (‘482 patent at 16:17-46.)

35. “Layer” is a well-known and well-defined concept in software architecture and

development, and therefore not indefinite to those skilled in the art. A layer is generally understood to be a logical structuring mechanism for the elements that make up a software system. (Microsoft Developer Network, Deployment Patterns, <http://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/ff646997.aspx>, attached here as Exhibit 2.) In object-oriented design, a layer usually refers to a set of classes that share the same module dependencies with other modules in that same layer.

(<http://www.techopedia.com/definition/2016/layer-object-oriented-design>, attached here as Exhibit 3.)

IV. “automatically detect[ing]”

36. I understand that there is a dispute in this case regarding the construction of the term “automatically detect.” Specifically, I understand that the parties’ proposed constructions for this term is as follows:

Claim Term or Phrase	AIT’s Proposed Construction	Salesforce’s Proposed Construction
“automatically detect[ing]” (‘482 claims 1, 21) (‘111 claim 13)	“detect[ing] without direct human intervention”	Indefinite, or in the alternative, requiring at least “detect[ing] without any intervention by a human operator through the use of one or more intelligent agents”

37. I agree with AIT’s proposed construction for this term. The ‘482 and ‘111 patents describe a change management layer capable of detecting changes to an application. (‘482 patent at 16:18-47. ‘111 patent at 16:20-48.) This layer is implemented as computer software. (‘482 patent at 16:61-65. ‘111 patent at 16:62-66.) One example of such implementation is referred to as the “intelligent agents” capable of searching the web for relevant changes in specific business areas based on predefined rules and constraints. (‘482 patent at 16:19-24. ‘111 patent at 16:20-25.) However, the intelligent agents are merely one embodiment of change detection. It is certainly not the only embodiment of change detection contemplated by the ‘482 and ‘111 patents. For example, the system’s Change Configuration functions support change of End User functions “through a variety of flexible and intelligent manual routines, such as intelligent agents, screens, fields, reports,

documents and logic that can be changed without requiring programming skills." ('482 patent at 10:6-14. '111 patent at 10:10-18.) Here, the '482 and '111 specifications indicate that changes may be made through several methods, and "intelligent agents" is only one method. An application can also be modified at one location and propagated to other locations. ('482 patent at 12:42-46. '111 patent at 12:46-50.) Here again, the '482 and '111 specifications do not indicate that the modification must be done through any intelligent agent. Accordingly, the construction for this claim term should not be limited to the use of an intelligent agent.

V. “information about [the] unique aspects of a particular application”

38. I understand that there is a dispute in this case regarding the construction of the phrase “information about [the] unique aspects of a particular application.” Specifically, I understand that the parties’ proposed constructions for this term is as follows:

Claim Term or Phrase	AIT’s Proposed Construction	Salesforce’s Proposed Construction
“information about [the] unique aspects of a particular application” ('482 claims 1, 21) ('111 claim 13)	“metadata that defines a data element or application function relating to a specific activity of a particular application”	“unique aspects” is indefinite

39. I agree with AIT’s proposed construction for this term. The '482 and '111 patents describe a metadata layer for storing and managing metadata that defines each application. ('482 patent at 9:49-63, 12:30-14:19. '111 patent at 9:53-67, 12:20-14:21.) The metadata layer "provides and/or defines data about every feature of the user interface," and the business content layer includes data "that is specific to the particular business operations of interest to the user." ('482 patent at 9:41-48. '111 patent at 9:46-52.) In fact, all the information required to create front-end applications and back-end database is stored and managed in the metadata layer. ('482 patent at 10:15-17. '111 patent 10:19-21.) The metadata layer has two main components: a business content data dictionary and an application component. ('482 patent at 12:32-34. '111 patent at 12:37-39.) "The data dictionary describes or defines the data elements of the application system and the business content

layer and how a data element is recorded and managed at the database management system (DBMS) level. The application component primarily records procedures for manipulating business information using data entry forms, worklists, processes, documents, reports and business logic." ('482 patent at 12:34-41. '111 patent at 12:39-45.) Furthermore, the business content layer "includes business knowledge, logical designs, physical designs, physical structures, relationships, and data associated with a selected area of business activity." ('482 patent at 12:16-19. '111 patent at 12:20-23.) The metadata layer includes the data elements and business activities that are unique to individual applications. ('482 patent at 12:15-41. '111 patent at 12:39-45.)

VI. "information about the user interface and functions common to a variety of applications" / "information about user interface elements and one or more functions common to various applications"

40. I understand that there is a dispute in this case regarding the construction of the phrase "information about the user interface and functions common to variety of applications" / "information about user interface elements and one or more functions common to various applications." Specifically, I understand that the parties' proposed constructions for this term is as follows:

Claim Term or Phrase	AIT's Proposed Construction	Salesforce's Proposed Construction
"information about the user interface and functions common to a variety of applications" / "information about user interface elements and one or more functions common to various applications" ('482 claims 1, 21) ('111 claim 13)	"metadata that defines user interface elements and/or application functions common to multiple applications"	Indefinite, or in the alternative, requiring at least "information about user interface components and functions used by multiple different applications, excluding any unique aspects of those applications"

41. I agree with AIT's proposed construction for this term. The '482 and '111 patents describe a metadata layer for storing and managing metadata that defines each application. ('482 patent at 9:49-63, 12:30-14:19. '111 patent at 9:53-67, 12:20-14-21.) The metadata layer "provides

and/or defines data about every feature of the user interface," and the business content layer includes data "that is specific to the particular business operations of interest to the user." ('482 patent at 9:41-48. '111 patent at 9:46-52.) In fact, all the information required to create front-end applications and back-end database is stored and managed in the metadata layer. ('482 patent at 10:15-17. '111 patent 10:19-21.) The metadata layer has two main components: a business content data dictionary and an application component. ('482 patent at 12:32-34. '111 patent at 12:37-39.) "The data dictionary describes or defines the data elements of the application system and the business content layer and how a data element is recorded and managed at the database management system (DBMS) level. The application component primarily records procedures for manipulating business information using data entry forms, worklists, processes, documents, reports and business logic." ('482 patent at 12:34-41. '111 patent at 12:39-45.) Furthermore, the business content layer "includes business knowledge, logical designs, physical designs, physical structures, relationships, and data associated with a selected area of business activity." ('482 patent at 12:16-19. '111 patent at 12:20-23.) The metadata layer includes the user interface and functionality that are common to (i.e., shared by) multiple applications. ('482 patent at 12:15-41. '111 patent at 12: 39-45.) For example, multiple applications may have the same set of interacting menu items and related functions. ('492 patent at 17:3-18:12. '111 patent at 17:4-18:15.) The metadata that defines the user interface and functionality common to multiple applications embodies the type of information that is about "the user interface and functions common to a variety of applications."

VII. "changes that affect a particular application" / "changes that affect an application" / "changes that affect the information in the first portion of the server or the information in the second portion of the server"

42. I understand that there is a dispute in this case regarding the construction of the phrase "changes that affect a particular application" / "changes that affect an application" and "changes that affect the information in the first portion of the server or the information in the second portion of the server." Specifically, I understand that the parties' proposed constructions for these phrases are as follows:

Claim Term or Phrase	AIT's Proposed	Salesforce's Proposed
----------------------	----------------	-----------------------

	Construction	Construction
“changes that affect a particular application” / “changes that affect an application” (‘482 claims 1, 21)	“changes to an application’s metadata”	“modifications to regulatory, technological, or social requirements stored in a third party repository that affect an application”
“changes that affect the information in the first portion of the server or the information in the second portion of the server” (‘111 claim 13)	“changes to an application’s metadata”	“modifications to regulatory, technological, or social requirements stored in a third party repository that affect information about unique aspects of a particular application or functions common to various applications”

43. I agree with AIT’s proposed construction for these phrases. The '482 and '111 patents describe a metadata layer for storing and managing metadata that defines each application. ('482 patent at 9:49-63, 12:30-14:19. '111 patent at 9:53-67, 12:20-14-21.) In fact, all the information required to create front-end applications and back-end database is stored and managed in the metadata layer. ('482 patent at 10:15-17. '111 patent 10:19-21.) The metadata is not limited to regulatory, technological, or social requirements. Changes to an application is not limited to regulatory changes; it also includes non-regulatory changes and other change-intensive business activities. ('482 patent at Abstract, 9:35-37, 9:53-55, 9:65-67. '111 patent at Abstract, 9:40-42, 9:57-59, 10:1-4.) Consequently, modifications to regulatory, technological, or social requirements are only one type of changes that affect applications, but not the only type of changes that affect applications.

44. Each application (e.g., its user interface and functionality) is generated using its corresponding metadata. ('482 patent at 9:49-61, 14:21-16:16. '111 patent at 9:53-65, 14:25-16-16.) Since an application is generated using its corresponding metadata, any change to the metadata necessarily affects the application generated based on the metadata.

VIII. “dynamically [re-]generate[d, ing]”

45. I understand that there is a dispute in this case regarding the construction of the

phrase “dynamically [re-]generate[d, ing].” Specifically, I understand that the parties’ proposed constructions for this term is as follows:

Claim Term or Phrase	AIT’s Proposed Construction	Salesforce’s Proposed Construction
“dynamically generate a functionality and a user interface / “dynamically [re-]generate[d, ing]” (‘482 claims 1, 21) (‘111 claim 13)	“dynamically generate” and “dynamically [re-]generate[d, ing]” means “generate or update when needed”	Indefinite, or in the alternative, requiring at least “generate both a functionality and a user interface immediately and concurrently without any reprogramming and/or recoding of software by a user” “re-generated” means “generated again after initial generation”

46. I agree with AIT’s proposed construction for this term. The claim language of the ‘482 and ‘111 patents makes it clear when an application should be generated. Claim 1 of the ‘482 patent states that an application generated when a client computer connects to a server computer. (‘482 patent at 32:33-34.) Claim 21 of the ‘482 patent similarly states that an application generated when a client computer establishes a connection to a server computer. (‘482 patent at 33:56-58.) Claim 13 of the ‘111 patent states that an application is generated and sent to a client upon the client establishing a connection with a server. (‘111 patent at 33:29-34:4.) The Java Data Management layer generates applications based on each application’s metadata stored in and managed by the metadata layer when the applications are needed. (‘482 patent at 14:21-16:16. ‘111 patent at 14:25-16:16.) For example, when the client connects to the server, the server needs to send an application to the client. At this point, the application is needed by the client. Thus, the server generates the applications. (‘482 patent at 15:26-29. ‘111 patent at 15:28-30.)

47. AIT’s proposed construction is further supported by the specification of the ‘482 and ‘111 patents. In connection with the Java Data Management Layer, the ‘482 and ‘111 patents states that “The user interface is generated by the interpretation of the metadata layer delivered to the Java data management layer.” (‘482 patent at 15:17-18. ‘111 patent at 15:19-20.) “The Java data

management layer and thus what the end user sees is defined only by the metadata and is generated as needed by a single program that interprets what a form will look like.” (‘482 patent at 15:26-29. ‘111 patent at 15:28-30.) This description corresponds to the concept of “dynamic” generation of an application from its metadata.

48. AIT’s proposed construction is also consistent with how technical dictionaries have defined the term “dynamically.” For example, the Microsoft Computer Dictionary, 4th Edition (1999), attached here as Exhibit 4, notes that “dynamic” is: “Occurring immediately and concurrently.” Dynamic code generation refers to the generation of executable code at run time. (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dynamic_software Updating.) The term "dynamic" is well-know and well-defined to those skilled in the art. AIT's proposed construction is much simpler and thus easier to understand by the general population.

IX. “unique aspects”

49. I understand that there is a dispute in this case regarding the construction of the term “unique aspects.” Specifically, I understand that the parties’ proposed constructions for this term is as follows:

Claim Term or Phrase	AIT’s Proposed Construction	Salesforce’s Proposed Construction
“unique aspects” (‘482 claims 1, 21) (‘111 claim 13)	No separate construction necessary.	Indefinite

50. I agree with AIT’s position that no separate construction is necessary for this term and the term is not indefinite. Claims 1 and 21 of the '482 patent and claim 13 of the '111 patent all recite "information about [the] unique aspects of a particular application." (‘482 patent at 32:16-17, 33:39-40. '111 patent at 33:23-24.) From the context of the claim language, the term "unique aspects" refers to aspects of a particular computer program that are not shared among various applications. In other words, there are features of this particular computer program that are available in this computer program and not available in other computer programs built from the metadata of

the system. Such features may include, for example, functionality, data elements, program logic, etc.

X. “establishes a connection with the server computer” / “when the client computer connects to the server computer”

51. I understand that there is a dispute in this case regarding the construction of the phrase “establishes a connection with the server computer” / “when the client computer connects to the server computer.” Specifically, I understand that the parties’ proposed constructions for this term is as follows:

Claim Term or Phrase	AIT’s Proposed Construction	Salesforce’s Proposed Construction
“establishes a connection with the server computer” / “when the client computer connects to the server computer” (‘482 claims 1, 21)	No separate construction necessary.	“when a connection between a client computer and a server computer is initiated”

52. I agree with AIT’s position that no separate construction is necessary for this phrase. Client-server architecture and network connections are well-known and well-understood concepts to those skilled in the art. The process of establishing a network connection between two devices involve a sequence of handshakes according to predetermined and usually standardized communications protocols. There is nothing confusing or ambiguous about what it means for a client computer to “establish a connection” with a server computer or for a client computer to “connect” to a server computer. The meaning of “establish a connection” and “connect” is readily understandable and needs no further construction. In addition, I do not see how Salesforce’s proposed construction of “when a connection between a client computer and a server computer is initiated” makes the claim language any clearer. “Establishing” a connection suggests essentially the same meaning as “initiating” a connection, and so all Salesforce’s proposed construction is doing is replacing one word that is already readily understandable with a synonym.

XI. “distributing the user interface and functionality of the particular application to the client computer” / “a user interface and functionality for the particular application is

distributed to the browser application”

53. I understand that there is a dispute in this case regarding the construction of the phrases “distributing the user interface and functionality of the particular application to the client computer” and “a user interface and functionality for the particular application is distributed to the browser application.” Specifically, I understand that the parties’ proposed constructions for this term is as follows:

Claim Term or Phrase	AIT’s Proposed Construction	Salesforce’s Proposed Construction
“distributing the user interface and functionality of the particular application to the client computer” “a user interface and functionality for the particular application is distributed to the browser application” (‘482 claims 1, 21)	No separate construction necessary.	“delivering all the components defining the user interface and the functionality of the particular application to the client computer”

54. I agree with AIT’s position that no separate construction is necessary for this phrase. The claim language itself is clear and unambiguous. The user interface and functionality of a particular application is generated using the corresponding metadata from the first and second layers. (‘482 patent at 32:23-26, 33:47-51.) Thereafter, the user interface and functionality are delivered to a client. (‘482 patent 32:30-34, 33:54-58.)

55. Salesforce’s proposed construction unnecessarily narrows this language by requiring the distribution of “all the components defining the user interface and the functionality of the particular application” to the client. But the plain language of the claims refers to distributing the “user interface and functionality” of the application, not “all the components defining the user interface and the functionality.”

XII. “distributing the first and the second layers across one or more server computers”

56. I understand that there is a dispute in this case regarding the construction of the phrase “distributing the first and the second layers across one or more server computers.”

Specifically, I understand that the parties' proposed constructions for this term is as follows:

Claim Term or Phrase	AIT's Proposed Construction	Salesforce's Proposed Construction
“distributing the first and the second layers across one or more server computers” ('482 claim 40)	No separate construction necessary. Alternately, “delivering metadata from both the first and second layers to one or more server computers.”	“delivering the first layer to a group of one or more server computers and delivering the second layer to a different group of one or more server computers”

57. I agree with AIT's position that no separate construction is necessary for this term. The specification as well as the claim language of the '482 patent make the meaning of this term clear and unambiguous. The metadata layer stores metadata that defines the user interface and functionality of the applications. ('482 patent at 12:15-14:19.) Conceptually, there are two types of metadata: (1) metadata that defines a data element or application function relating to a specific activity of a particular application (i.e., metadata from the first layer), and (2) metadata that defines user interface elements and/or application functions common to multiple applications (i.e., metadata from the second layer). ('482 patent at 33:39-44.) However, these two types of metadata is merely a conceptual separation, not necessarily a physical separation. Hence, the two types of metadata do not need to be processed on separate server computers.

58. Physically speaking, both types of metadata is stored together in the metadata layer. The claim language makes it clear and unambiguous that the metadata from both the first and second layers are delivered to one or more servers. In other words, the metadata from both the first and second layers can all be delivered to and stored on a single server. Or alternatively, the metadata from both the first and second layers can be delivered to and stored on multiple servers.

59. The metadata is stored in metadata tables in the metadata layer. ('482 patent at 12:53-14:19, Figs. 4-5.) Different types of metadata may be stored in different metadata tables in the preferred embodiment (e.g., GreenSuite Image table, View Business Area table, View group table, group module table, report group table, report trigger table, module formula table, related module table, calculation profile variable table, etc.). Nevertheless, all of these tables belong to the same

1 metadata layer. The '482 patent never indicates in any way that some of these tables must be
2 physically stored in a separate location (i.e., on different servers) from other tables.

3 **XIII. “portion” / “portion of the server”**

4 60. I understand that there is a dispute in this case regarding the construction of the term
5 “portion” / “portion of the server.” Specifically, I understand that the parties’ proposed
6 constructions for this term is as follows:

7 Claim Term or Phrase	AIT’s Proposed Construction	Salesforce’s Proposed Construction
8 “portion” / “portion of the 9 server” 10 (‘111 claims 13-17)	“a functionally or logically related subset of one or more server computers ”	“a subset of one server computer separate and distinct from other subsets”

11 61. I agree with AIT’s proposed construction for this term. Based on the context of the
12 claim language, the term "portion" is similar to the term "layer" and therefore should have a similar
13 construction as that of the term "layer".

14 62. The system described in the '111 patent has a layered architecture, including a
15 metadata layer, a Java Data Management layer, and a change management layer. ('111 patent at
16 9:38-67, 11:67, Fig. 1.) The metadata layer further includes two sub-layers. ('111 patent at 12:20-
17 56.) Each layer includes related components that together serve a particular purpose or perform a
18 particular set of related tasks. For example, the metadata layer stores and manages metadata that
19 define applications. ('111 patent at 12:20-14:21.) The Java Data Management layer generates
20 applications based on each application's metadata stored in and managed by the metadata layer.
21 ('111 patent at 14:25-16:16.) The change management layer monitors and detects changes made to
22 specific applications. ('111 patent at 16:20-48.)

23 63. "Layer" is a well-known and well-defined concept in software architecture and
24 development, and therefore not indefinite to those skilled in the art. A layer is generally understood
25 to be a logical structuring mechanism for the elements that make up a software system. (Microsoft
26 Developer Network, Deployment Patterns, <http://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/ff646997.aspx>,
27 [attached here as Exhibit 5](#).) In object-oriented design, a layer usually refers to a set of classes that
28

1 share the same module dependencies with other modules.

2 (<http://www.techopedia.com/definition/2016/layer-object-oriented-design>, attached here as Exhibit
3 6.)

4 **XIV. “upon establishment of a connection between the server and the client device”**

5 64. I understand that there is a dispute in this case regarding the construction of the
6 phrase “upon establishment of a connection between the server and the client device.” Specifically,
7 I understand that the parties’ proposed constructions for this term is as follows:

8 Claim Term or Phrase	AIT’s Proposed Construction	Salesforce’s Proposed Construction
9 “upon establishment of a 10 connection between the 11 server and the client 12 device” (‘111 claim 13)	No separate construction necessary.	“when a connection is initiated between a client computer and a server computer”

13 65. I agree with AIT’s position that no separate construction is necessary for this phrase.
14 Client-server architecture and network connections are well-known and well-understood concepts to
15 those skilled in the art. The process of establishing a network connection between two devices
16 involve a sequence of handshakes according to predetermined and usually standardized
17 communications protocols. There is nothing confusing or ambiguous about how a network
18 connection should be established between a client and a server. There is also nothing confusing or
19 ambiguous about what it means to “establish a connection” between a server and a client device. In
20 addition, I do not see how Salesforce’s proposed construction of “when a connection is initiated
21 between a client computer and a server computer” makes the claim language any clearer.
22 “Establishing” a connection suggests essentially the same meaning as “initiating” a connection, and
23 so all Salesforce’s proposed construction is doing is replacing one word that is already readily
24 understandable with a synonym.

25 **XV. “business content database”**

26 66. I understand that there is a dispute in this case regarding the construction of the term
27 “business content database.” Specifically, I understand that the parties’ proposed constructions for
28

1 this term is as follows:

2 Claim Term or Phrase	AIT's Proposed Construction	Salesforce's Proposed Construction
3 "business content database" 4 ('482 claims 3, 23)	"a data store containing data specific to particular business operations"	Indefinite

5
6 67. I agree with AIT's proposed construction for this term. The term is not indefinite as
7 it is described in detail in the '482 patent. It characterizes a business content layer, which includes
8 "business knowledge, logical designs, physical designs, physical structures, relationships, and data
9 associated with a selected area of business activity." ('482 patent at 12:17-29.) The corresponding
10 metadata is stored in a database management system (DBMS) in various tables. ('482 patent at
11 12:34-38, 12:53-14-19, Figs. 4-5.) The business content database is a database in which data are
12 stored.

13 XVI. "logical designs"

14 68. I understand that there is a dispute in this case regarding the construction of the term
15 "logical designs." Specifically, I understand that the parties' proposed constructions for this term is
16 as follows:

17 Claim Term or Phrase	AIT's Proposed Construction	Salesforce's Proposed Construction
18 "logical designs" 19 ('482 claims 4, 24) 20 ('111 claim 15)	"an abstract representation of the data flows, inputs, and outputs of an application"	"an arrangement of data in a series of logical relationships referred to as entities or attributes"

21
22 69. I agree with AIT's proposed construction for this term. First, the term "logical
23 designs" refers to the logical designs of those applications (e.g., business applications) generated
24 from metadata stored in the metadata layer, and not the logical designs of the database used to store
25 the metadata.

26 70. Claims 3 and 23 of the '482 patent, upon which claims 4 and 24 depend, respectively,
27 recite "a business content database having data about one or more different predetermined business
28 applications." ('482 patent at 32:42-43, 33:66-67.) It is clear that the business content database

1 stores data about the business applications. Claim 4 and 24 of the '482 patent then recite "the data
2 further comprises one or more of business knowledge, logical designs, physical designs, physical
3 structures and relationships associated with the predetermined business application." ('482 patent at
4 32:44-48, 34:1-5.) It is further clear that the data stored in the business content database may
5 include business knowledge, logical designs, physical designs, physical structures and relationships
6 about the business applications. The claim language is unambiguous that the logical designs are the
7 logical designs of the business applications, not of the business content database.

8 71. Similarly, claim 14 of the '111 patent, upon which claim 15 depends, recite "the
9 information of the first portion of the server includes information associated with one or more
10 predetermined business applications." ('111 patent at 34:9-11.) It is clear that the information from
11 the first portion of the server is information about the business applications. Claim 15 of the '111
12 patent then recite "the information of the first portion of the server includes at least one of business
13 knowledge, logical designs, physical designs, physical structures, and relationships associated with
14 one or more predetermined business applications." ('111 patent at 34:12-16.) It is further clear that
15 the information from the first portion of the server may include business knowledge, logical designs,
16 physical designs, physical structures and relationships about the business applications. Again, the
17 claim language is unambiguous that the logical designs are the logical designs of the business
18 applications, not of the storage in the first portion of the server where the information is stored.

19 72. This interpretation is supported by the specification of the '482 and '111 patents,
20 which state that the business content layer "includes business knowledge, logical designs, physical
21 designs, physical structures, relationships, and data associated with a selected area of business
22 activity." ('482 patent at 12:16-20. '111 patent at 12:20-23.) The business content layer is
23 characterized as a business content database and is referenced in the metadata layer. ('482 patent at
24 12:24-29. '111 patent at 12:27-32.) The metadata stored in the metadata layer is used to generate
25 applications. ('482 patent at 9:49-61, 15:10-49. '111 patent at 9:53-66, 15:13-50.) Thus, the logical
26 designs are the logical designs of the applications to be generated.

27 73. Second, logical design is part of systems designs, which is "the process of defining
28 the architecture, components, modules, interfaces, and data for a system to satisfy specified

requirements." (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Systems_design, attached here as Exhibit 7)

Specifically, the logical design of a system "pertains to an abstract representation of the data flows, inputs, and outputs of the system." *Id.*;

(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Systems_design#Logical_design) In comparison to physical designs, logical design is more conceptual and abstract than physical design.

(http://docs.oracle.com/cd/A87860_01/doc/server.817/a76994/logical.htm, attached here as Exhibit 8)

XVII. "physical designs"

74. I understand that there is a dispute in this case regarding the construction of the term "physical designs." Specifically, I understand that the parties' proposed constructions for this term is as follows:

Claim Term or Phrase	AIT's Proposed Construction	Salesforce's Proposed Construction
"physical designs" ('482 claims 4, 24) ('111 claim 15)	"the input and output processes of an application"	"description of a physical database including tables and constraints"

75. I agree with AIT's proposed construction for this term. First, the term "physical designs" refers to the physical designs of those applications (e.g., business applications) generated from metadata stored in the metadata layer, and not the physical designs of the database used to store the metadata.

76. Claims 3 and 23 of the '482 patent, upon which claims 4 and 24 depend, respectively, recite "a business content database having data about one or more different predetermined business applications." ('482 patent at 32:42-43, 33:66-67.) It is clear that the business content database stores data about the business applications. Claim 4 and 24 of the '482 patent then recite "the data further comprises one or more of business knowledge, logical designs, physical designs, physical structures and relationships associated with the predetermined business application." ('482 patent at 32:44-48, 34:1-5.) It is further clear that the data stored in the business content database may

1 include business knowledge, logical designs, physical designs, physical structures and relationships
2 about the business applications. The claim language is unambiguous that the physical designs are
3 the physical designs of the business applications, not of the business content database.

4 77. Similarly, claim 14 of the '111 patent, upon which claim 15 depends, recite "the
5 information of the first portion of the server includes information associated with one or more
6 predetermined business applications." ('111 patent at 34:9-11.) It is clear that the information from
7 the first portion of the server is information about the business applications. Claim 15 of the '111
8 patent then recite "the information of the first portion of the server includes at least one of business
9 knowledge, logical designs, physical designs, physical structures, and relationships associated with
10 one or more predetermined business applications." ('111 patent at 34:12-16.) It is further clear that
11 the information from the first portion of the server may include business knowledge, logical designs,
12 physical designs, physical structures and relationships about the business applications. Again, the
13 claim language is unambiguous that the physical designs are the physical designs of the business
14 applications, not of the storage in the first portion of the server where the information is stored.

15 78. This interpretation is supported by the specification of the '482 and '111 patents,
16 which state that the business content layer "includes business knowledge, logical designs, physical
17 designs, physical structures, relationships, and data associated with a selected area of business
18 activity." ('482 patent at 12:16-20. '111 patent at 12:20-23.) The business content layer is
19 characterized as a business content database and is referenced in the metadata layer. ('482 patent at
20 12:24-29. '111 patent at 12:27-32.) The metadata stored in the metadata layer is used to generate
21 applications. ('482 patent at 9:49-61, 15:10-49. '111 patent at 9:53-66, 15:13-50.) Thus, the
22 physical designs are the physical designs of the applications to be generated.

23 79. Second, physical design is part of systems designs, which is "the process of defining
24 the architecture, components, modules, interfaces, and data for a system to satisfy specified
25 requirements." (*See* Ex. 7) Specifically, the physical design of a system "relates to the actual input
26 and output process of the system." (*Id.*) In comparison to logical designs, logical design is more
27 conceptual and abstract than physical design. (*See* Ex. 8) Logical design is a precursor to physical
28 design. The physical design determines how a system is implemented.

XVIII. “physical structures”

80. I understand that there is a dispute in this case regarding the construction of the term “physical structures” / “physical structures and relationships.” Specifically, I understand that the parties’ proposed constructions for this term is as follows:

Claim Term or Phrase	AIT’s Proposed Construction	Salesforce’s Proposed Construction
“physical structures” (‘482 claims 4, 24) (‘111 claim 15)	“the components, their relationships and arrangements, that form an application”	“structure of a database that can be seen and operated on by the operating system, such as the physical files stored on a disk”

81. I agree with AIT’s proposed construction for this term. The term "physical structures" refers to the physical structures of those applications (e.g., business applications) generated from metadata stored in the metadata layer, and not the physical structures of the database used to store the metadata.

82. Claims 3 and 23 of the '482 patent, upon which claims 4 and 24 depend, respectively, recite "a business content database having data about one or more different predetermined business applications." ('482 patent at 32:42-43, 33:66-67.) It is clear that the business content database stores data about the business applications. Claim 4 and 24 of the '482 patent then recite "the data further comprises one or more of business knowledge, logical designs, physical designs, physical structures and relationships associated with the predetermined business application." ('482 patent at 32:44-48, 34:1-5.) It is further clear that the data stored in the business content database may include business knowledge, logical designs, physical designs, physical structures and relationships about the business applications. The claim language is unambiguous that the physical structures are the physical structures of the business applications, not of the business content database.

83. Similarly, claim 14 of the '111 patent, upon which claim 15 depends, recite "the information of the first portion of the server includes information associated with one or more predetermined business applications." ('111 patent at 34:9-11.) It is clear that the information from the first portion of the server is information about the business applications. Claim 15 of the '111

1 patent then recite "the information of the first portion of the server includes at least one of business
 2 knowledge, logical designs, physical designs, physical structures, and relationships associated with
 3 one or more predetermined business applications." ('111 patent at 34:12-16.) It is further clear that
 4 the information from the first portion of the server may include business knowledge, logical designs,
 5 physical designs, physical structures and relationships about the business applications. Again, the
 6 claim language is unambiguous that the physical structures are the physical structures of the business
 7 applications, not of the storage in the first portion of the server where the information is stored.

8 84. This interpretation is supported by the specification of the '482 and '111 patents,
 9 which state that the business content layer "includes business knowledge, logical designs, physical
 10 designs, physical structures, relationships, and data associated with a selected area of business
 11 activity." ('482 patent at 12:16-20. '111 patent at 12:20-23.) The business content layer is
 12 characterized as a business content database and is referenced in the metadata layer. ('482 patent at
 13 12:24-29. '111 patent at 12:27-32.) The metadata stored in the metadata layer is used to generate
 14 applications. ('482 patent at 9:49-61, 15:10-49. '111 patent at 9:53-66, 15:13-50.) Thus, the
 15 physical structures are the physical structures of the applications to be generated.

16 85. Any system has a physical structure. In terms of computer programs, the physical
 17 structure of a computer program refers to the components that make up the program, what they are,
 18 what they do, how they interact and relate to each other, and so on.

19 **XIX. "builder module"**

20 86. I understand that there is a dispute in this case regarding the construction of the term
 21 "builder module." Specifically, I understand that the parties' proposed constructions for this term is
 22 as follows:

23 Claim Term or Phrase	AIT's Proposed Construction	Salesforce's Proposed Construction
24 "builder module" 25 ('482 claim 10) 26 27 28	"a software tool to construct an application or part of an application from metadata"	"self-contained unit of software capable of generating a user interface using metadata for a user interface and functions common to a variety of applications"

87. I agree with AIT's proposed construction for this term. The '482 patent discloses that a user can enter data pertaining to an application through the Java data management layer. ('482 patent at 15:50-57.) The '482 patent provides several example forms through which the user can enter data. ('482 patent at 11:30-12:12, 16:35-47, 17:48-67, Fig. 15, Fig. 17, Fig. 19.)

88. The system disclosed in the '482 and '111 patents include configuration tools and end user tools. ('482 patent at 9:49-63. '111 patent at 9:53-67.) "Within the Java management layer, configuration tools take the place of a programmer and define various end user functions in terms of metadata, and metadata definitions are used to implement the desired end user functions." ('482 patent at 9:49-52. '111 patent at 9:54-57.) Thus, consistent with the specification, "builder module" refers generally to a software tool that can be used to construct an application or part of an application based on metadata.

XX. Indefiniteness

89. I understand that Salesforce contends that the following terms and phrases in the claims of the '482 and '111 patents are invalid for indefiniteness:

- "the fourth portion of the server being configured to automatically detect changes that affect the information in the first portion of the server or the information in the second portion of the server"
- "a change management layer for automatically detecting changes that affect an application" or "automatically detecting changes that affect a particular application"
- "a second layer associated with the server computer containing information about the user interface and functions common to a variety of applications, a particular application being generated based on the data in both the first and second layers" or "a second layer containing information about the user interface and functions common to a variety of applications, wherein a particular application is generated based on the data in the first and second layers"
- "a third layer associated with the server computer that retrieves the data in the first and second layers in order to generate the functionality and user interface elements of the application" or "a third layer that retrieves the data in the first and second layers in order to generate the functionality and user interface for a particular application for the client computer as the client computer connects to the server computer"
- "dynamically"
- "unique aspects"

